UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

GENARO RUIZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF RHODE ISLAND, RHODE ISLAND STATE POLICE; CITY OF PROVIDENCE, by) and through its Treasurer, J. Lombardi, III, alias; TOWN OF JOHNSTON, by and through its Treasurer, Joseph Chiodo, alias; CITY OF CENTRAL FALLS, by and through its Director of Finance, Cynthia Dejesus, alias; and HERBERT D. TILSON, alias; PETER DUHAMEL, alias; DEREK G. MELFI, alias; CHRIS SCHRAM, alias, each individually and) in their official capacities as) police officers in the Rhode Island) State Police; and OMAR A. OSPINA,) alias, individually and in his official capacity as an Officer in) the Central Falls Police Department;) WILLIAM DEMERS, alias, individually) and in his official capacity as an) Officer in the Johnston Police) Department; NICHOLAS LUDOVICI,) alias, individually and in his) official capacity as an Officer in) the Providence Police Department;) and STEVEN G. O'DONNELL, alias, in) his official capacity as the) Superintendent of the Rhode Island) State Police and the Commissioner of) the Rhode Island Department of) Public Safety,)

Defendants.

C.A. No. 16-507 WES

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

WILLIAM E. SMITH, Chief Judge.

Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery ("Motion") (ECF No. 56). Plaintiff's Motion is DENIED.

I. Discussion¹

Confidential government surveillance information is subject to a qualified privilege, which "can be overcome by a sufficient showing of 'need.'" <u>United States v. Cintolo</u>, 818 F.2d 980, 1002 (1st Cir. 1987) (quoting <u>United States v. Van Horn</u>, 789 F.2d 1492, 1508 (11th Cir. 1986)). In the First Circuit, this privilege further extends to "law enforcement techniques and procedures." <u>Puerto Rico v. United States</u>, 490 F.3d 50, 64 (1st Cir. 2007) (quoting <u>In re Dep't of Investigation of N.Y.</u>, 856 F.2d 481, 484 (2d Cir. 1988)); <u>see also Ass'n for Reduction of Violence v. Hall</u>, 734 F.2d 63, 65-66 (1st Cir. 1984) (recognizing that federal case law identifies a qualified privilege for "documents that would tend to reveal law enforcement investigative techniques or sources").

"[T]his qualified privilege is subject to balancing the federal government's interest in preserving the confidentiality of sensitive law enforcement techniques against the requesting party's interest in disclosure." Puerto Rico, 490 F.3d at 64. An

¹ Relevant background facts are outlined in this Court's recent Memorandum and Order (ECF No. 68) with respect to Defendants' Motions to Amend and Dismiss.

in camera review "is a relatively costless and eminently worthwhile method to insure that the balance between one party's claims of irrelevance and privilege and the other's asserted need for the documents is correctly struck." <u>Ass'n for Reduction of Violence</u>, 734 F.2d at 66 (quoting <u>Kerr v. U.S. Dist. Court</u>, 426 U.S. 394, 405 (1977)).

The Court's in camera review of the Operational Plan has led it to conclude that it contains "sensitive law enforcement techniques" protected by the qualified privilege. <u>See Puerto Rico</u>, 490 F.3d at 64. The question then becomes whether Plaintiff "demonstrated an authentic 'necessity,' given the circumstances, to overbear the qualified privilege," such as "no adequate alternative means of getting at the same point." <u>Cintolo</u>, 818 F.2d at 1002. Plaintiff has not made such a showing. <u>See id.</u> II. Conclusion

For the above reasons, Plaintiff's Motion to Compel (ECF No. 56) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

William E. Smith Chief Judge Date: August 1, 2018